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**Answer to question Part A:**

The mentioned video is based on a Pictionary game played by a group of friends in a hangout where there were two teams; one is all male and other is an all-female group consists of two members in each.

From the starting point of the video, I noticed the guy of a blue T-shirt was confident and bold about what he was saying, which was not welcomed by the two girls. Their facial expression says that they are not happy with his behaviour and the guy was actually quite familiar and used to with their kinds of behaviour, and he has no problem with that.

During the first round of the game, the girls' team followed a mannered way. The one drawing the picture was drawing so that other can understand what she wanted to describe. Lady sitting on the couch was very excited but confident; thus, she was able to think in a mannered way which leads her to guess right. On the other hand, the boy with the Jacket was quite calm and confused as his partner was drawing something out of his level of imagination.

And in the second round, the same thing occurred again. The guy of blue Tshirt was thinking in an extraordinary way while she was supposed to think in a simple way to cope up with the level of his partners. On the other hand girls team wins again as both of their communication levels matched and they guessed right again.

In the third part, the lady with the pink shirt was quite confident that they will surely win as the boys' teams communication is so poor. She was waiting and taking the fun out of them instead of hurrying to finish. But at last, it was found that the guy with blue Tshirt did not even look at the given the word perfectly; thus she drew some pictures which was not only confusing but also he drew that on a wrong word.

And again at the ending point, it seems that the blue Tshirt guy never ready to accepts his fault; instead, he is over-confident about what he is doing, and even if it is right it is not understandable to the regular people.

**Answer to question Part B:**

The situation given there is a weird but unique conversation between two people where a foreign migrant Aamala was seeking help to in a train station.

At the very first it seems like some kinds of racism as the tall lady of the station did not respond to Amala. But, then we found out that the tall lady was actually deaf. Thus, she could not decode what Amala was saying to her as she reads lips and Amala was wearing niqab. Therefore she could not notice the movement of lips. So, the first thing I can point here is the cultural context where Amala is migrant of a different culture.

The second thing I noticed is the Vocalics of Amala. Paralanguage is a voiced but not verbal part of a spoken message which comprises six vocal characteristics: pitch, volume, rate, quality, intonation, and vocalized pauses. At first, Amala asked for help in normal tone but when the woman did not respond to Amala Amala shouted with her in a high tone which an example of anger. It happened because Amala thought that the tall woman was not helping her as she was from a different culture, and the tall woman did not welcome her apparel.

And the final thing I want to add in the analysis is the uses of gestures. When the power of woman’s automated machine ran out, Amala communicated with her using Gesture of hands which is a beautiful example of non-verval communication.

These are the things that I found from behaviour from the two women, reasons for failure in communication, judgmental mentality, the use of non-verbal communication, barriers in communication, prejudice, discrimination, cultural essence etc.